

# North Herts Friends of the Earth

September 2011

[www.nhertsfoe.co.uk](http://www.nhertsfoe.co.uk)

## North Herts Friends of the Earth in action

North Herts Friends of the Earth campaigners have been out and about enabling people to take action to support our environment. In addition to stalls at the Triangle Garden Day and Walsworth Festival, North Herts Friends of the Earth spent two days at Rhythms of the World. This year we were located in the healing area, which was a much quieter and pleasant place to be. Although the music was quieter there, we had plenty of people coming by to talk to us and join the 'Energy Conversation' being run by Friends of the Earth nationally. This is a short survey asking the public their opinion on important energy issues and will be used as a basis for a new, large campaign on improving our energy supplies and systems later this year.

**Take Action: The survey can still be completed online at <http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/energy11>**

We also had a petition on the stalls calling for an improvement in the Common Agricultural Policy. We managed to get many signatures calling for support for small-scale farmers to increase grazing and mixed farming, as opposed to development of large monoculture farms and factory-farming units, and the growing of

animal feed (protein crops and legumes) within the EU rather than feeding livestock on imported soy.



### Fair Fares Now

Four members of the group joined in with the national campaign calling for fairer train fares. On Wednesday 27th July we met at Stevenage train station to hand out postcards and gather signatures to oppose the above inflation rises in train fares across the county and country.

We collected almost 150 signatures and gave out the same amount of postcards in just 2 hours, proving that this is a campaign with wide support. More details and an online petition can be found at <http://www.bettertransport.org.uk/fairfares/>

We are facing the biggest train fare hikes in a generation. Next year, fares will increase four times faster than wages. Right now, the government is reviewing its policy on train fares, so we need to show them just how many of us want affordable, reliable public transport. We know that if enough people come together to oppose government plans, they back down.

**Take Action: Please sign the petition to prevent these unfair price hikes.**

Our demands:

Affordable public transport is vital – don't raise train fares by 28%

Don't price people off the train and out of work

Listen to the voices of passengers – not just train companies – when you review your fare policy

Reconsider your decision to raise fares 3% above inflation now that inflation is far higher than expected

Keep your promise to make fares fair

<http://www.bettertransport.org.uk/fairfares/petition>




### Wildlife in Stevenage needs your help!

Ever wanted to give something back to nature? Then now is your chance...

Learn new skills, meet new people and improve habitats around Stevenage.

The Green Spaces Volunteer Group has been set up to help improve wildlife sites throughout the town. The tasks will range from litter picking to woodland management. There will be opportunities to survey sites for different species and help out at community events.

For further information, contact Amy Ellis of the Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust (working in partnership with Stevenage Borough Council): [wildlifetrust@stevenage.gov.uk](mailto:wildlifetrust@stevenage.gov.uk)



## Help Stop the Planning free-for-all

The Government has published a highly controversial draft National Planning Policy Framework for public consultation. This represents the biggest shake-up of planning for over 50 years.

The Government claims that the existing rules don't work because developers need a free rein to "build what they like, where they like, when they like."

But its proposed changes will place the countryside under increasing threat, could derail the planning of new green industries and decent affordable homes - and push through short-sighted developments like supermarkets, incinerators and 'mega-factory farms'.

We could also lose local parks, shops and allotments - and our right to a say in our communities. Many elements of the Framework are deeply worrying. Councils will be told there should be a "presumption for development" and any council without an approved adopted local plan will have to accept *any* development proposal.

They claim that changes are key to our economic recovery. They also say that there will be a presumption in favour of sustainable development. But by failing to define what sustainable development means Government plans are likely to encourage a building free-for-all.

Harvey Ward, North Herts Friends of the Earth's planning campaigner writes: "I was horrified to read the proposed changes to planning law. The changes are aimed, quite clearly, at reversing the past 60 years of planning knowledge, interpretation and precedent in favour of a return to the 1930s where development was unhindered by anything other than market forces (ribbon development, the unplanned growth of suburban Britain). Their aim is quite clearly to reduce the influence exerted by groups like Friends of the Earth, the National

Trust, CPRE etc. Whilst the present planning system is far from perfect it is perfectly possible to change things incrementally, but HMG favours all-out attacks. Odd that Mr Cameron before the election was very keen to refute any intention for top-down reforms of the public service but now says and directs the opposite.

It is quite preposterous to advocate, as HMG does, trashing 60+ years of planning policies and replace it with a 50 page loose leaf handout written by a bunch of developers working for the Department for Communities and Local Government.

The biggest weasel words in the government's proposals relate to 'sustainable development' - they do not mean what you might think they mean."

Friends of the Earth is not anti-development, but the Government's reforms will not deliver the outcomes we really need - such as:

Affordable green homes -The Tories scrapped cheap housing targets.

Green jobs and industries -The Government needs to define sustainable development.

Environmental protection -There is no guidance to respect environmental limits.

Friends of the Earth is asking for: A legal definition of sustainable development and detailed guidance for local councils so they can understand sustainable development to create green jobs, build affordable homes and protect the environment.

We have until late October (or possibly early November) to secure amendments to this Bill that will enshrine proper community rights and sustainable development at the heart of England's planning system.

**Take Action: Ask Greg Clark MP for an improved planning framework (email action)**

<http://www.foe.co.uk/campaigns/fair future/press for change/ask for improved planning framework 32211.html>

**WATCH OUT for more actions on the planning bill and support other organisations taking action on this issue.**

---

## The next step in the fight against incineration

Herts County Council are planning to spend at least £220 million on an incinerator at New Barnfield in Hatfield (see previous Newsletters), but Veolia still have to get planning permission (*bet they wish they'd waited for the new planning policy to be in place! -Ed.*)

A fighting fund has been set up - The New Barnfield Trust, to pay for the legal costs of fighting the planning application. The New Barnfield Trust so far has promises of £50,000. That is a donation from North Mymms Green Belt Society, and promises of grants from Hatfield Town Council and Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council. This money is specifically to help with the campaign against an incinerator at New Barnfield.

At the moment New Barnfield Trust have engaged a Barrister to put forward the case at Planning. This will be pretty expensive.

Herts WoW and HAI are hoping to get agreement that some of the New Barnfield Trust funding can also be used for a professional costing of the alternatives to incineration. As a first stage we are having a meeting with Grant Shapps MP.

Other news - HertsWoW made an Environmental Information Request (EIR) to Herts CC to release figures about the waste flow models etc that were given to the 6 bidders for the contract, and which were used in the Outline Business Case and the Final Business Case to DEFRA and the Treasury in order to get the PFI award. They refused to release the information on grounds of commercial confidentiality. We complained to the Information Commissioner who found in our favour and HCC has now released the information which shows that the assumptions and predictions

they make are, to say the least, controversial and are based on out of date figures and trends.

We also took the opportunity to look at the waste accounts for this year and are still wading through the data. The total waste management costs this year are £36.2m - the largest element in that (£12.9m) being Waterdell Transfer Station and the biggest cost there was the landfilling. In view of the current costs, it is difficult to see how they can claim to be able to save £31m/year with the new incinerator compared to the 'do nothing' scenario, i.e. sticking at 50 % recycling and landfilling the residual waste!

We have written to the Treasury about the lack of value for money of the PFI project.

#### **Upcoming dates:**

Waste Core Strategy Hearings in Public- preliminary meeting - 29/9/11

Waste Core Strategy Hearings in Public 15/11/11 – 29/11/11

Planning Application - November/December

For more information see: <http://hertswow.webs.com> and <http://www.hatfield-anti-incineration.co.uk/>

**Take Action: e-petition: Introduce a tax on waste incineration**

**Please support the following online petition to central Government:**

**The Treasury should introduce a waste incineration tax at 50% of the rate of Landfilling tax. Such a tax would prevent waste incineration undermining recycling. The tax could raise around £200m a year.**

<http://epetitions.direct.gov.uk/petitions/2642>

---

#### **Support local carbon cuts**

Tell Chris Huhne his reply to our petition isn't good enough [email action]

Over 10,000 people recently signed a FoE petition for local carbon cuts.

Energy Minister Chris Huhne has now responded, and he claims that proper advice for councils is a luxury we probably can't afford.

Tell him he's wrong: advice is cheap - much less than the cost of clearing up after climate change.

**Take Action: Email Chris Huhne:**

[http://www.foe.co.uk/campaigns/climate/press\\_for\\_change/huhne\\_response\\_action\\_32342.html](http://www.foe.co.uk/campaigns/climate/press_for_change/huhne_response_action_32342.html)

---

#### **A perspective the government might understand?**

The hidden economic value of the UK's natural environment has been highlighted and quantified in a 'groundbreaking' new report Nature is worth tens of billions of pounds a year in benefits to wellbeing in the UK, according to the first extensive financial assessment of the environment.

Our quality of life, health and economic prosperity are crucially dependent on our natural world, its different ecosystems and its biodiversity, according to the UK National Ecosystem Assessment (UK NEA).

Published by the UK government, the report provides "a new way of estimating our national wealth" and represents the first time any country in the world has quantified the economic, health and social benefits of its ecosystems in monetary terms.

500 experts in ecology, economics and social sciences calculated the value that habitats across the nation, from mountains to cities, moors to woodland and lakes to coastlines, add to the economy each year. They assessed the worth of "ecosystem services," such as how the natural world supports the basic infrastructure of life, removes pollution from the air and provides cultural benefits through spaces for recreation or

places that offer "an enhanced sense of spiritual wellbeing."

Pollinators, such as bees, are worth £430m per year to British agriculture, while lakes, rivers and other wetlands provide £1.5bn of benefits to water quality. Living with a view of a green space creates £300 worth of health benefits per person per year.

These and other "non-market benefits" of nature have not previously been taken into account the report states. Valuing them properly will enable better decision making in areas such as development, transport, agriculture and energy.

The Department of Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) said that the report strengthens the argument for protecting and enhancing the environment and will be used by the government to direct future policy.

Currently, 20% of ecosystems are improving in their "ability to deliver services," such as crop production from farmland and climate regulation by woodlands. However, over 30% of services were found to be in decline and others degraded, such as marine fisheries, wild species diversity and soil quality.

Professor Bob Watson, chief scientist at Defra and co-chair of the UK NEA, said: "There is an urgent need to better manage our ecosystems and the natural resources they provide us with. But until now there has been no clear way of valuing the full range of benefits they provide beyond what we can buy and sell. The UK NEA introduces groundbreaking approaches to measure the value of these services and how they will be affected in future."

Recognising the value of nature's hidden benefits would help the UK move towards a more sustainable future, the report argues, and would help these benefits to be more equitably distributed.

The authors stressed the need for a more collaborative approach to enhancing our environment, involving government, businesses,

voluntary organisations and civil society as a whole. The UK must also protect overseas environments in order to ensure its own economic prosperity, they said.

Through six future scenarios, the UK NEA estimates how the value of the country's ecosystems could change over the next 50 years, depending on what policy choices are made.

The scenario that results in greatest human wellbeing is called 'nature at work,' in which environmental awareness is high and varied landscapes are created that offer many different benefits. This path also provides the greatest adaptability to future challenges, for example climate change.

A business as usual scenario, and 'world markets' – a route of unrestrained economic growth with trade barriers removed – offer the least benefit to wellbeing. Despite increases in the value of goods such as crops or timber, these paths would result in an overall loss of billions of pounds to the value of the natural world, the report shows.

The assessment was welcomed by Friends of the Earth. "For too long we've underestimated the economic benefits that a thriving environment brings," the organisation said in a statement. Martin Harper, conservation director at The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) also praised the report and said that natural landscapes are not just something nice for us to look at. "The traditional view of economic growth is based on chasing GDP (gross domestic product), but in fact, as the UK NEA implies, we will all end up richer and happier if we begin to take into account the true value of nature."

*Article adapted from <http://positivenews.org.uk>  
by Seán Dagan Wood*

---

## Greenpeace calls the fashion police over chemicals in clothes



A Greenpeace investigation has found toxic chemicals in clothing from 14 global brands, including Adidas and H&M. Greenpeace UK's Tamara Stark shares her anger and asks – who's responsible?

Call me fussy, but I really don't want to hear that the clothes I wear might contain toxic chemicals. I'm a fairly ethical shopper and I try to buy responsibly. So when our recent lab tests at Greenpeace came back and revealed the chemical nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs) in 14 brands, purchased in 17 different countries, I was a little shocked – and angry!

These toxic chemicals are banned in manufacturing in the EU, so how can they show up in clothing I'm buying in the UK?

It's a global problem

Although many top brands claim to care for our environment, they've exported much of their production – plus the associated pollution – to the global south, where factories routinely dump toxic wastewater into local rivers.

This has a big impact on the fish, wildlife and people in places such as China, since NPEs breakdown in water into toxic, persistent and hormone-disrupting nonylphenol.

I already knew that, but I didn't realise how the cycle of contamination spreads around the world. These clothing companies are some of the best-known global brands, sold worldwide. So when clothes manufactured using processes that are illegal in Europe are shipped to the UK shops, I unwittingly buy toxic clothing – and I don't want to.

But, before I scare anyone into binning their wardrobe, I should point out that simply wearing clothes that contain these chemicals has not been shown to be harmful to the wearer.

The problem occurs in washing – when these chemicals leave our garments and enter water systems. Since nonylphenols don't break down, even the tiniest amount we add to the environment adds up. Not only that, but they bio-accumulate – remaining in our body fat, so repeated exposure to even the lowest dose builds up into a high dose in our bodies over time – hence the EU's stringent limits on their use.

Whose responsibility is it, then?

As a consumer, it's tempting to think "I'll boycott Adidas" or "I'll boycott China" – but you'd also have to add Bangladesh, Vietnam, H&M, Calvin Klein, and more to a seemingly endless list.

There are better solutions – with some companies showing how. In response to our investigations and pressure from people like you, sportswear giants Puma and Nike have both committed to eliminate toxic chemicals from their supply chain, talking to their suppliers and developing plans to implement them.

It won't be easy or quick, but by using our purchasing power to encourage brands to do the right thing, Nike can now do the same to help clean their supply chain in China and elsewhere. We just need Adidas and others to do the same.

Writing to Nike's CEO and getting them to react felt great, but I don't think that entirely absolves me of more personal responsibility. We can all do more to "green our wardrobes", so we've written up some ideas to help minimise your style's eco-impact.

Don't get me wrong: brands this big and profitable need to show leadership so consumers aren't buying into pollution. It may be a challenge, but isn't that what brands like Adidas live for – when

they claim that “impossible is nothing”

by **Tamara Stark, Greenpeace UK's Comms Director Consumer Rights**

26 August 2011

<http://www.greenpeace.org.uk/toxics>

*(Adidas have now committed publicly to eliminate all discharges of hazardous chemicals throughout their supply chain and across the entire lifecycle of their products by 2020. Just goes to show what campaigning can do – Ed.)*

---

## The ten most polluted fruit & vegetables



Between 2000 and 2009, the Environmental Working Group (EWG) tested 53 popular fruits and vegetables, to find those that had high levels of pesticides.

Pesticides are accused of provoking nervous system problems, cancer, and hormonal deregulation.

The EWG carried out their tests on products that they had washed. The ten most polluted fruit and veg:

**Apples:** More than 700 apple samples were tested by the EWG. 98% of them contained traces of pesticides and 92% contained at least 2 different types of pesticide. Along with peaches, apples are one of the most highly pesticide treated fruits, with not less than 56 different chemical substances being employed.

**Blueberries:** With their porous and fragile skin, blueberries hold pesticides deep within them. And what about frozen blueberries? While blueberries are often found in the frozen section of your supermarket, it's best to avoid

them as freezing helps preserve the pesticides too.

**Celery:** 96% of the celery samples tested positive for pesticides and nearly 90% contained a number of different types of pesticide.

**Grapes:** On one sample of grapes imported from the US, 14 different pesticides were detected. The presence of these traces is partly explained by the grape's thin skin, that lets pesticides into the flesh. However, grapes produced in France showed that only 17.5% of samples contained traces of pesticides, while a study of European non-organic grapes showed that 99.2% of the samples were contaminated with pesticides.

**Nectarines:** 90.8% of the nectarines tested contained traces of at least two types of different pesticides. While the results don't actually exceed authorised limits for each individual pesticide, these traces can still pose a problem as they become more powerful when combined with each other.

**Peaches:** 85.6% of the tested peaches contained traces of at least two different types of pesticide. With their thin skins, peaches are more receptive to absorbing pesticides.

**Strawberries:** On a single sample of strawberries, some 13 different types of pesticides were detected. And while you can wash a strawberry, you probably wouldn't want to try peeling one!

**Peppers:** During this study, one sample of peppers contained more than 13 different chemical substances. During the European study, the pepper shone as the vegetable containing the highest number of pesticide traces – 21 in total. Unless they are organic, avoid red and yellow peppers if you can, as they are more mature versions of the green pepper and thus have more exposure time to the pesticides.

**Potatoes:** Like all vegetables that grow directly in the earth, potatoes are more exposed to pesticides than other above ground vegetables. And their skin is so

thin, that they easily absorb a number of pesticides and fungicides. According to the EWG study, 91.4% of potatoes contained pesticide traces.

**Spinach:** As spinach also grows close to the earth, they are highly exposed to insects and are thus overly protected with pesticides.



---

## Kerbside Plastic Recycling

As an 'environ-mental' I welcome the NHDC news that North Herts residents are now separating 50% of their waste to be recycled or composted. A lot of this is achieved through kerbside collection but, unlike eight out of the eleven Hertfordshire Councils, this does not include plastic in North Herts. Hitchin councillors David Billing and Deepak Sangha sought to redress this by posing a motion to Council in April asking for a report to Cabinet on the feasibility of using shared services in the Herts Waste Partnership.

In the mean time my colleagues in North Herts Friends of the Earth and I carried out opinion surveys in Hitchin centre and at the Walsworth and Triangle Festivals. 89% of those in North Herts who filled in our forms selected more plastics recycling and 89% of those who answered the question about providing more on street collection agreed.

NHDC officers duly produced a report on the implications of a plastic kerbside recycling scheme and in particular the financial, environmental and technical considerations. It concluded that a shared service was not currently feasible, due to existing contractual arrangements, so it

should not be explored any further. Currently less than 300 tonnes of plastics and more than 8,000 tonnes of food per annum that could be recycled is being landfilled, thus NHDC should focus on food rather than plastics. Predictably the Cabinet turned down the scheme in July despite a torrent of criticism from Hitchin councillors and the public. As Vicky Wyer pointed out "The key motivation in reducing waste should not merely be to prevent it from going to landfill, but also to prevent the loss of valuable materials in an era where resources need to be conserved and emissions reduced".

In the mean time dedicated recyclers will have to continue using petrol transporting plastics to overflowing bring banks and the Household Recycling Centre on the far side of Letchworth. This in the knowledge that NHDC collect 65% of viably recyclable plastics from bring banks, though they actually recycle 27% of the total plastics in our waste system. For this decision to be reviewed we have to wait until 2016 when the waste contract will be renewed and the Herts EFW (Incinerator) starts operating and this has already generated a lot of angry hot air!



*Chris Honey. 9 September 2011.*

### **Annual conference, September 2011, Nottingham**

Peter attended this year's Friends of the Earth annual conference on behalf of the group.

He says: "This was my first time at FoE conference, and it was an inspiring, energising (and sometimes exhausting!) experience. Around 200 local group activists, FoE supporters and staff, plus an impressive array of speakers, gathered in the beautiful surroundings of the Nottingham University campus for a packed weekend of talks, workshops, debates and networking.

"As this is FoE's 40th anniversary year, the focus of the event was on celebrating the achievements of the past four decades of environmental campaigning, and looking ahead to the challenges and opportunities of the next 40 years.

"Highlights for me were the panel session featuring four former directors of FoE (Tom Burke, Jonathan Porritt, Charles Secrett and Tony Juniper, plus current executive director Andy Atkins) on the Friday evening, and policy director Craig Bennett's presentation on the new campaigning agenda, which kicked off Saturday. Workshop sessions led by FoE campaigners provided a more detailed context for local group activity.

"There were plenty of opportunities to network and share experiences with other activists, and to party into the small hours for those so inclined!"

Further information about conference, including audio interviews with participants, can be found on the FoE website at:

[http://www.foe.co.uk/events/conference\\_7967.html](http://www.foe.co.uk/events/conference_7967.html)

### **Please help with the newsletter.**

Please send your letters or articles to Tracy at: 84 Jackmans Place, Letchworth SG6 1RQ, or [tracy@tracypez.co.uk](mailto:tracy@tracypez.co.uk)

### **Deadline for the next newsletter:**

**Monday 9<sup>th</sup> January 2012**



### **Apple Day!!**

**Saturday the 22nd October**

Please come along to our stall from 10:00 - 2:00

Volunteers welcome.

Please contact Simon to help -

[siwebb@ntlworld.com](mailto:siwebb@ntlworld.com)

07958114275

### **Emails to North Herts Friends of the Earth supporters**



**We send out occasional (monthly) action email bulletins.**

**If you'd like to be sent these please contact Peter on [peterfoord@gmail.com](mailto:peterfoord@gmail.com)**

**If you want an action to be included in our email bulletins please let Peter know well in advance so it can be added to the email**

## Dates for your diary:

### North Herts Friends of the Earth Film Nights:

Every (or nearly every!) second Thursday of the month - see email bulletin for details.

**27th Sept - Transition Town Letchworth -Film & Talks Night - How to encourage wildlife into your garden** - Come and hear TTL member Diane Ketcher talk about how to encourage insects, birds and other creatures into your garden. There will be a chance to make your own home for ladybirds and lacewings as well as practical information on harvesting seeds and a produce swap.

Venue: Letchworth Arts Centre, 2 The Arcade, SG6 3EW  
Time: Refreshments from 7:15pm, start at 7:30pm  
Suggested Donation: £3

**Thursday 13th October - 7:45pm Oliver Heald - Big Society & Transition** - Letchworth Arts Centre - £3  
Tickets will shortly be available to hear Oliver Heald MP speak on the subject of "Big Society and Transition". TTL and David's Bookshop have invited him to a public meeting to explain how the coalition's policy of Big Society will work on a practical level in our town. This should be particularly interesting to anyone involved in the voluntary or public sector. Oliver's talk will be followed by an open Q&A session. Tickets can be obtained from David's Bookshop or the Arts Centre.

### LOCAL GROUP MEETINGS

**Please come along and get involved**

**Thursday 20<sup>th</sup> October**  
**Thursday 17<sup>th</sup> November**  
**Thursday 15<sup>th</sup> December**

**\* Thursday 19<sup>th</sup> January – 2012 Annual General Meeting \***

**All 7:30pm-9:15pm**

**Friends Meetings House,  
1 Paynes Park, Hitchin,**

**Come to the pub afterwards!**

### Membership subs

**It is time to renew your subs for the group. If you already pay by STO - thank you very much we do appreciate you making life so much easier by paying automatically!!**

**Those who pay by cheque and have still to pay please send £6 (£2.50 concessions) to:**

**Jill Bracey  
44 Chiswick Quay  
London  
W4 3UR.**

**Form attached if you want to fill it in.**

**Thank you all for continuing to support us and rest assured your funds are put to great use in our campaigning.**

*Jillie*

North Herts Friends of the Earth  
CONTACTS:

### Joint Coordinators:

Main Contact:  
Matt Sutcliffe 23 Baliol Road,  
Hitchin [mattysut@hotmail.com](mailto:mattysut@hotmail.com)  
07706897365

Peter Foord 123 Valley Way,  
Stevenage, Herts SG1 1QY  
07912268926  
[peterfoord@gmail.com](mailto:peterfoord@gmail.com)

### Treasurer & Membership Secretary:

Jill Bracy  
44 Chiswick Quay  
London W4 3UR  
0780 2211767  
[jill.bb@virgin.net](mailto:jill.bb@virgin.net)

### Media Coordinator:

Sharon Eckman  
07855975213  
[Sharoneckman@hotmail.com](mailto:Sharoneckman@hotmail.com)

### Waste Coordinator:

David Ashton  
52a Hornhill  
Whitwell  
Herts  
SG6 4HT  
01438 871315  
07770 721433  
[davidga@phonecoop.coop](mailto:davidga@phonecoop.coop)

### Planning Campaigner:

Harvey Ward  
32 Laurel Avenue  
Potters Bar  
EN6 2AB  
01707 659742  
07913476202  
[harvey@thewards.org.uk](mailto:harvey@thewards.org.uk)

### Transition Towns Coordinator:

Chris Honey  
34 Woodcote House  
Hitchin  
Herts  
SG4 9TL  
01462 441843  
[chrishoney\\_design@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:chrishoney_design@yahoo.co.uk)  
[k](http://www.transitiontowns.org.uk)

### Stalls Coordinator:

Simon Webb  
[siwebb@ntlworld.com](mailto:siwebb@ntlworld.com)  
07958114275